IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA ROCK HILL DIVISION

Amy Henson Girard,) C/A No. 0:20-cv-01905-DCC
Plaintiff,)
V.) ORDER
Andrew Saul, Commissioner of Social Security,))
Defendant.)))

Plaintiff brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner") denying her claims for Supplemental Security Income and Disability Insurance Benefits. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 (D.S.C.), this matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge for pre-trial handling. The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report") on May 25, 2021, recommending that the Court reverse the decision of the Commissioner and remand for further proceedings. ECF No. 27. Neither party filed objections to the Report.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. *Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation made by the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter to the Magistrate Judge with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

0:20-cv-01905-DCC Date Filed 06/09/21 Entry Number 30 Page 2 of 2

The Court will review the Report only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See

Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) ("[I]n the

absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but

instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in

order to accept the recommendation." (citation omitted)).

Upon review of the record, the applicable law, and the findings and

recommendations of the Magistrate Judge, the Court finds no clear error and ADOPTS

the Report. Therefore, the Commissioner's decision is REVERSED and the Court

REMANDS this matter to the Commissioner pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. §

405(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Donald C. Coggins, Jr. United States District Judge

June 9, 2021 Spartanburg, South Carolina